

Decision making under uncertainty in the energy sector

Dr Katy Roelich Associate Professor Sustainability Research Institute School of Earth and Environment





- Energy transitions are subject to a range of uncertainties
- We are getting better at characterising and managing that uncertainty
- We are not good at accommodating uncertainty in decision making
- We need to focus on the processes that support decision making, as well as the models
- The tools that are currently available to support decision making do not reflect the decision environment well
- We need to get better at representing the bounded rationality of decision makers and the complex environment in which they make decisions

MAADM



- What do I mean by uncertainty?
- Uncertainty in energy system modelling
- Using models in decision making
- Approaches to decision making under uncertainty
- The realities of decision making
- How to reflect the realities of decision making in decision support
- Why is local an important scale to focus on?

MAADM



Risk	Knowledge where both the factors of risk and the likelihood of those factor occurring can easily be identified and quantified (Knight 1921)
Endemic uncertainty	<i>Insufficiency</i> of models, <i>necessities</i> to set boundaries thus exogenising and making invisible certain possibilities, <i>inaccuracy</i> of measurements, and other issues that systemically generate <i>ignorance</i> as a function of constructing knowledge (Butler et al 2015)
Irreducible uncertainty	Arising from system complexity, where defining cause and effect is impossible and outcomes emerge from the behaviour and interaction of a range of intermediate actors (Wynne 1992)



Uncertainty in models



Energy Research & Social Science 46 (2018) 332-344		Energy Strategy Reviews 21 (2018) 204-217		
ELSEVIER	Contents lists available at ScienceDirect	FISEVIER	Contents lists available at ScienceDirect Energy Strategy Reviews journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/esr	ENERGY
Assessing qualitative a modelling for policy s Steve Pye ^{a,c,*} , Francis G.N. Will Usher ^d [*] UC. Degrammat of Science, Technology, Degi [*] UC. Insulane for Statalmable Resources, Centra	and quantitative dimensions of uncertainty in energy pupport in the United Kingdom Li ^a , Arthur Petersen ^b , Oliver Broad ^c , Will McDowall ^c , James Price ^a , Woham Place, London, WCIII ONN, United Kingdom ering and Public Policy (STBaPP), Boston House, 36 37 Flarry Square, London, WIT 66Y, United Kingdom I House, 14 Upper Woham Place, London, WCIII ONN, United Kingdom	A review of approach optimization models Xiufeng Yue ^{a,b} , Steve Pye ^a Brian Ó. Gallachóir ^{a,b} [*] MaREI Ceare, Environmental Research Inst [*] School of Environmental Research Inst [*] University Callege Coda	hes to uncertainty assessment in energy system ^{1,b,c} , Joseph DeCarolis ^d , Francis G.N. Li ^c , Fionn Rogan ^{a,b,*} , inate, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland the, Cork, Ireland Inte, Central House, 14 Upper Woburn Place, London, WCIH ONN, United Kingdom	Churs br Churs br Lucisise
ELSEVIER	Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect Energy Policy journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/enpol	ELSEVIER	Energy Research & Social Science 37 (2018) 122-132 Contents lists available at ScienceDirect Energy Research & Social Science journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/erss	
Critical mid-term un Will Usher*, Neil Stracha UCL Energy Institute, University College Lon	ncertainties in long-term decarbonisation pathways n don Central House, 14 Upper Woburn Place, WCIH ONN, United Kingdom	Original research article Uncertainty, politics transitions in the Un Francis G.N. Li ^{a,*} , Steve 1	s, and technology: Expert perceptions on energy nited Kingdom Pye ^{a,b}	Chusk for Optimize
A R T I C L E I N F O Article history: Received 27 July 2011 Accepted 3 November 2011 Available online 22 November 2011 Keywords: Energy system modelling Uncertainty Stochastic programming	ABSTRACT Over the next decade, large energy investments are required in the UK to meet growing energy service demands and legally binding emission targets under a pioneering policy agenda. These are necessary despite deep mid-term (2025-2030) uncertainties over which national policy makers have little control. We investigate the effect of two critical mid-term uncertainties on optimal near-term investment decisions using a two-stage stochastic energy system model. The results show that where future fossil fuel price scenario and is structurally dissimilar to a simple "average" of the deterministic scenarios, and (ii) multiple recourse strategies from 2030 are perturbed by path dependencies caused by hedging investments. Evaluating the uncertainty under a decarbonisation agenda shows that fossil fuel price uncertainty at eround E20 billion. The addition of novel mitigation options reduces the value of fossil fuel prices around E20 billion. The addition of novel mitigation options and hows a much lower value of uncertainty at E300 million. This paper reveals the complex relationship between the flexibility of the energy system and		typer Would Place, London W. LH UNN, Umled Kingdom mainter, University College Cork, Cork, Jreland	t long-term timescales for d interviews with 31 UK ed for their views on the s. The research reveals a the research community ical ones, but that there so there is a requirement uitre a new approach to xible and adaptable, and

mitigating the costs of uncertainty due to the path-dependencies caused by the long-life times of both infrastructures and generation technologies.

© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. Open access under CC BV license

MAADM

Multi Actor Adaptive Decision Making

thinking concerning what can or cannot be included in models, and therefore what types of uncertainties can or

cannot be explored.

Linking models and decision making



UCL Energy Institute			⁺UCL
Fragmer	nted: littl	e cross-sca	ale integration
Scale	Tool	Analyst	Decision-maker
National			→
Devolved			→
Local Authority			→

https://www.birmingham.ac.uk/research/activity/energy/research/otherresearch/ascend/across-scales-in-energy-decision-making-ascend.aspx







Because of complexity – uncertainty in speed and scale of change, long-timelines, multiple and interacting uncertainties, numerous stakeholders

Because of high stakes – high investment needs, urgency of change

Because of individuals – bounded rationality – we prioritise certain types of information and draw on emotions, values, gut feelings to make decisions quickly

And because of processes – drive preference for a fixed best answer, preferably with a number attached e.g. cost/benefit ratio, rate of return on investment

MAADM



"the study finds that decision-makers require a new approach to uncertainty assessment that overcomes analytical limits to existing practice, is more flexible and adaptable, and which better integrates qualitative narratives with quantitative analysis" (Li and Pye 2018)



Approaches to <u>decision making</u> under uncertainty



Approaches from operational research:



Source: Analysis under Uncertainty for Decision-Makers Network Decision Support Tools for Complex Decisions under Uncertainty Edited by Simon French from contributions from many in the AU4DM network



Approaches to <u>decision making</u> under uncertainty



Long-term planning under deep uncertainty (DMDU):

- Assumption-based planning
- Robust Decision Making
- Adaptive policy making
- Adaptation pathways
- Dynamic adaptive policy pathways ,

More detail in: Walker et al (2013) Adapt or Perish: A Review of Planning Approaches for Adaptation under Deep Uncertainty



Adaptation Tipping Point of an action

MAADM



A group of decision support approaches that:

- Explore how to express uncertainties and options in a different way – how do we respond to uncertainties, not ignore or eliminate them?
- Enable foresight about future options- which options do we open up or close down by actions in the short term?
- Build flexibility into decision making how easily can we move to alternative pathways?







MAADM

Adaptive decision making





Source: https://www.deltares.nl/en/adaptive-pathways/

Multi Actor Adaptive Decision Making

MAADM





MAADM





Source: Haasnoot et al (2013)

MAADM





- Multiple actors
- Multiple plans/ objectives
- Processes and context driving decisions
- Different types of decisions
- Decisions at different scales
- Public perceptions

https://paulcairney.wordpress.com/2018/10/25/evidencebased-policymaking-and-the-new-policy-sciences-2/

MAADM



Case studies

- Transport
- Energy system

Local Infrastructure Commission <u>https://maadm.leeds.ac.uk/local-infrastructure-</u> <u>commission/</u>)

Public engagement



Multiple actors



Policy context







O Transfer station **I** Terminal — Pathways Agenda Interventions Advocacy actions facilitating transfer

* All data to be uploaded to common repository to facilitate benchmarking (likely facilitated by organisation such as RICS)

Note: numerous other measures were considered such as the development of a material re-use database & platform; the introduction of material passports; requirements to design for deconstruction; requirements to design for adaptability; and the mandatory labelling of re-usable construction products but these options have been omitted from this figure. Although such measures may deliver emissions savings over multiple product uses, these savings will be delivered over a timeframe that extends beyond this analysis.

MAADM

Multiple objectives/plans





MAADIVI





MAADM



A decision about whether to invest in a long-lived asset that might create conditions for systemic change in the future

Or

A decision about a short-lived asset that might create change now

Or

A decision about whether to set a target to encourage others to invest

MAADM



Decision Phase 1: Decision screening	identification
Decision tree – identify decisions in need of adaptive approach and 'type' of decision - Institutional/investment/innovation?	Toolkit – map 'type' of decision onto appropriate tool/approach/model with examples of how these tools/ approaches/models have been used
 Reversibility Extent of control Type of uncertainty (level or issue?) Impact on vision/sensitivity of vision/impact on whole system Scale of investment Availability of data Exposure to political/legal challenge Interaction with other targets or problems 	 Adaptive planning Robust DM Real options Multi-Criteria Decision Making Decision trees
	 Decision tree – identify decisions in need of adaptive approach and 'type' of decision - Institutional/investment/innovation? Reversibility Extent of control Type of uncertainty (level or issue?) Impact on vision/sensitivity of vision/impact on whole system Scale of investment Availability of data Exposure to political/legal challenge Interaction with other targets or problems Urgency

Urgency

The importance of context







The importance of context



Stakeholder mapping

• Use cases¹

Policy context arbon reduction; Building Regulations; Brexit; devolution agenda vestment decisions; industrial strategy; economic growth etc. Government-industry consultative forums & action groups _ _ _ _ ndustry Council. Construction Leadershin Council. Green Construction Board et Cross-industry advocacy & information groups UK-GBC, WGBC, CIRIA, CITB etc Clients Designers Contractors Government & regulated 'Leading' designer 'Leading' contractor Primary: cost effective delivery departmental objectives e.g. Primary: professional reputation, delivery of client objectives imary: cost & risk reduction elivery time; innovation; Specify what should be built & influence what materials should or condestion reduction Secondary: innovation: sustainability Specify design and most of eputation Secondary: sustainability (inc. emissions reduction) econdary: sustainability should not be used 'Following' designer the materials to be used 'Following' contractor Primary: delivery of client objective 'Leading' private client econdary: professional reputation mary meeting design ecification at least cost & risk Primary: enhancing reputation & profitability sustainability lelivery time Professional institutes (RIBA, ICE, condary: innovatio Secondary: ease of build: RICS, IStructE, CIBSE etc.) 'Following' client Primary: profitability Secondary: enhancing reputation Build UK. Construction Influence th Infrastructure Client Grour materials include in design & built Construction product & material suppliers 'Leading' supplier Primary: innovation; capturing i share; cost efficiency; quality Secondary: sustainability 'Following' supplier Key Primary: cost reduction: capturing Actors market share Secondary: quality Motivation CPA, MPA, SCI, British Precast, Advocacy & knowledge transfer groups BCSA, TRADA, ASBP etc. Influence ____

A "use case" comprises actor(s), a system, a goal and a scenario.



¹Approach introduced by Dr Thomas Downing, GCAP (adapted from IT)

MAADM



At the local scale:

- The relationship between infrastructure and quality of life is more apparent
- The relationship between national policy and local decisions is crucial
- The evaluation of outcomes is generally poor
- Systemic change needs to happen (compared to siloed decision making)
- The public has (and should have) more of a direct say in decisions



The influence of scale – local decision making

decisions and interact to deliver

system transformation.



https://maadm.leeds.ac.uk/local-infrastructure-commission/				
	UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS			
Multi Actor Adaptive Decision Making	٩			
HOME ABOUT ▼ RESEARCH ▼ PEOPLE	NEWS LOCAL INFRASTRUCTURE COMMISSION CALL FOR EVIDENCE EVIDENCE SESSION SUMMARIES			
HOME / LOCAL INFRASTRUCTURE COMMISSION	HOME / LOCAL INFRASTRUCTURE COMMISSION			
IN THIS SECTION	Local Infrastructure Commission			
Overview				
Call for Evidence	The Commission			
Evidence Session Summaries				
Overview	Sciences Research Council funded project. It will explore how infrastructure needs can be understood and			
Why do we need infrastructure?	how they might be different at a local scale; how infrastructure planning and delivery happens at a local scale;			
Engaging the public in outcomes-based decision making of infrastructure that is more like	and debate new approaches to decision making around infrastructure at all scales that might enable delivery of infrastructure that is more likely to meet local needs.			
Appraising the total value of infrastructure	When we talk about infrastructure, we include key sectors of 'economic infrastructure' encompassing transport, energy, water and sewerage, flood risk, digital and waste. (National Infrastructure Commission			
MAADM is examining how we can make better decisions to transform infrastructure systems, taking into account deep physical and social	2017). However, within these sectors we define infrastructure broadly as "artefacts and processes of the interrelated systems that enable the movement of resources in order to provide the services that mediate (and ideally enhance) security, health, economic growth and quality of life at a range of scales", which recognizes its influential and critical role in delivering societal needs (Dawson, 2013).			
uncertainties and crucially the fact that multiple actors must make	The commission was set up in response to the flurry of activity on infrastructure planning at the national (National Infrastructure Commission, 2017) and regional scale (for example Cox, 2017; ICE, 2017). These			

The commission was set up in response to the flurry of activity on infrastructure planning at the national (National Infrastructure Commission, 2017) and regional scale (for example Cox, 2017; ICE, 2017). These reports gave very detailed accounts of the need for better planning at these scales but overlooked the important role of communities, cities and city regions in infrastructure planning. We will address this scale of activity specifically in this commission. We will focus initially on communities, cities and city regions in the north, because of the call for greater investment and foresight in this region (ICE, 2017).





- The public is affected by decisions made under uncertainty
- We are quite bad at engaging the public on complex issues
- We quite often engage them once we've decided what the answer is
- This can lead to resistance and slow project but also has implications for the quality of the answer and the wellbeing of the public





 Solutions need to align with values that underpin public perceptions

Efficient not wasteful











Process and change



Social justice and fairness



MAADM



Digital tools for engagement can help to:

- Facilitate visualisation
- Foster collaboration and reduce disagreement
- Provide a platform for multi-stakeholder engagement
- Engage some hard to reach groups









- We are making great process in characterising and managing uncertainty
- But there needs to be more focus on accommodating uncertainty in decision making
- To do this we need to understand the realities of decision making and develop tools and approaches appropriate to that context
- This also means thinking about the different scales of decisions
- And how to engage the public in decisions

MAADM



k.e.roelich@leeds.ac.uk @katyroelich www.maadm.leeds.ac.uk





Butler, C., Demski, C., Parkhill, K., Pidgeon, N., Spence, A., 2015. Public values for energy futures: Framing, indeterminacy and policy making. Energy Policy 87, 665–672.

Cairney, P. and Oliver, K. (forthcoming) How should academics engage in policymaking to achieve impact? Political Studies Review. Available online

Haasnoot, M., Kwakkel, J., Walker, W. and ter Maat, J. (2013) Dynamic adaptive policy pathways: A method for crafting robust decisions for a deeply uncertain world, Global Environmental Change, 23 (2), 485-498,

Li, F., and Pye, S. (2018) Uncertainty, politics, and technology: Expert perceptions on energy transitions in the United Kingdom, Energy Research & Social Science, 37, 122-132,

Roelich, K. Giesekam, J (2019) Decision making under uncertainty in climate change mitigation: introducing multiple actor motivations, agency and influence, Climate Policy, 19(2), 175-188

Walker, W., Haasnoot, M., Kwakkel, J. (2013) Adapt or Perish: A Review of Planning Approaches for Adaptation under Deep Uncertainty Sustainability 5 (3), 955-979

Wynne, B., 1992. Uncertainty and environmental learning: Reconceiving science and policy in the preventive paradigm. Global Environmental Change 2, 111–127.

